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Types of Legal Challenges

1. Is a municipality liable for acting OR not acting?

2. Does it have authority to act on the mapped data?

3. Can the mapped data be challenged?

4. Would a municipality be accused of a “taking” if it acted?

5. What options do communities have to protect health and property within projected floodplains?
Legal Questions and Recommendations*

http://100yearfloods.org

*The following presentation should NOT be considered legal advice. Seek legal counsel for your particular needs.*
1. Municipal Liability

• What if municipalities fail to take steps to reduce the vulnerability of its landowners and other citizens to the risk of flood and storm damage as revealed by UNH’s research efforts and mapping information?
1. Recommendation

- There is no need for towns to take any specific action related to municipal liability from adopting or failing to adopt floodplain maps.

1. Negligence

2. Doctrines of Governmental Immunity
2. Enabling Statutes

Do New Hampshire communities have the legal authority under state planning and zoning enabling legislation, or other state legislation, to design and implement regulatory controls based on current and predicted environmental conditions, specifically projected flooding levels?
2. Recommendation

- Identify and clearly state which enabling statutes authorize the sections of your ordinance. Check the language of the statute to make sure specific authorizations are not being exceeded.

1. Environmental Protection
2. Duties of the Planning Board
3. Duties of the Municipality
3. Challenges to Technical Information

What legal standard of scientific and technical reliability must planners and other officials meet in order to support regulatory measures that are based on current and future—as opposed to past—environmental conditions?
3. Recommendation

- **Identify** in the ordinance the reason you are adopting or referencing the maps. As long as you have a reasonable justification for using the maps, the maps will be upheld e.g. protecting the health and welfare of the community from the dangers of flood hazards.
4. Takings

• What is the potential regulatory takings exposure of New Hampshire communities if they impose regulatory controls that are designed at least in part to address anticipated future environmental conditions?
4. Recommendations

• **Make the basis for floodplain regulation** clear in the master plan and the hazard mitigation plan.

• **Provide flexibility** in zoning and regulations in order to preserve some economically viable use of the land.
  – E.g. Setbacks should not create distance requirements that cover an entire parcel.
  – Include a mechanism for providing minimum relief from standards.
  – Indicate that the purpose of the regulation is to implement hazard mitigation.
5. Community Options

- Planning Tools
- Non-regulatory Tools
- Regulatory Tools
The Bottom Line:

• If a municipality seeks to influence development or redevelopment within the newly mapped floodplain:
  – Use sound planning principles and practices
    • E.g. state desired conditions in appropriate plans
  – Identify authorizing statutes
    • E.g. those related to land use and governance
  – Be clear about the purpose of development restrictions
    • E.g. protect health and safety
  – Preserve some economic viability of affected land
  – Use your municipal tools – planning, non-regulatory and regulatory